

The Times-Dispatch
DAILY—WEEKLY—SUNDAY
Business Office.....915 E. Main Street
Richmond Bureau.....1103 Hull Street
Savannah Bureau.....107 N. Sycamore Street
New York Bureau.....215 Eighth Street
BY MAIL. One Six Three One
POSTAGE PAID Year. Mo. Qu. No.
Daily with Sunday.....\$6.00 \$3.00 \$1.50 35
Daily with Sunday.....4.00 2.00 1.00 35
Daily edition only.....2.00 1.00 .50 35
Weekly (Wednesday).....1.00 .50 .25 35

By Times-Dispatch Carrier Delivery Service in Richmond and suburbs, Manchester and Petersburg.
On Week days with Sunday.....14 cents
Daily without Sunday.....10 cents
Daily only.....5 cents
Entered January 27, 1903, at Richmond, Va., as second-class matter under act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1910.

THE ASSEMBLY THIS WEEK.

The introduction of new bills in the assembly will come to an end this week. All the legislators who have pet measures in their pigeon-holes will have to furnish them up and present them during the next five days if they wish to see their ideas enacted into law this year. After that time the assembly will settle down and digest many laws which have been spread in the legislative table during the last few weeks. The hardest part of the session will then begin.

It is to be hoped that the present week will not see any great number of new measures, even though the last call is resounded through the corridors. There are more than enough bills already before the Assembly to give the State all needed laws. When these have been amended and when the various committees have seriously considered them, they will be found amply sufficient for the State's present wants. It is further to be hoped that the assembly will soon get to work on the appropriation bill. In previous sessions the Finance Committee have been so occupied with hearings and have consumed so much time in this way that the appropriation bills have been too long delayed. As a result, when brought before the Assembly, some of them were not given the close attention and the detailed debate that they absolutely required. Too many of the measures were the result of compromises, arranged in the hurry of the moment, without due regard for the merits of all the appropriations included in the measure. Let us save money by beginning early. Let us save money by taking ample time after we have begun.

OUTWAGNERING WAGNER.

Musical critics are sharpening their daggers in New York and are putting on the thick armor of dogmatic opinion for an artistic controversy. Browdy diva, who nod through Beethoven recitals at Carnegie Hall, and flashing damself, who chat without interruption during the most solemn moments of the "Ring" operas at the Manhattan, are actually becoming interested. Even the silent sufferers who occupy the back seats in the boxes and pay the rent are having a few words.

The recent presentation of Richard Strauss' "Elektra" at the Metropolitan is the object of all this excitement. With professional critics solidly arrayed against it, with the neothematic element interested in its novelty and with serious and impartial critics divided, a merry war of words is on.

"Nobody, in all the argument pro and con, alleges that there is a note of melody or even a measure of pleasant harmony in the whole opera. Whatever merit Strauss' creation has, that merit is altogether apart from what is generally called music. The real question, therefore, in the minds of all, is whether Strauss, in reaching for new dramatic expression, for a sense of emotionalism and for a vocal psychology, has justified himself. Is it worth while to stretch the accepted traditions of music and the ear drums of the audience to the breaking point, just to show how angry or how miserable Elektra is?"

As a matter of fact, the pendulum has already swung too far to one side. The revolution which Wagner began started the pendulum from the extreme of empty harmony to which it had been carried by Donizetti, Rossini, Bellini and Meyerbeer. The same revolution carried orchestration, dramatic effect and histrionic development as far as they could legitimately be carried. Where Wagner left off, "Götterdämmerung" and "Parsifal," Wagner's theories ended. Those who allowed after could only exaggerate that the masterhand had done, or change the very theories upon which modern German opera rests. If Strauss as genius to do the latter, his name may go down into history as the maker of a new music. If he has not, he is not a genius, his work will soon perish.

But the very spectacle of a Wagner war against Wagner is interesting, penning as it does in much the same way as Wagner's own rebellion. New York is receiving "Elektra" much as Dresden received "Der Fliegende Holländer" and "Tannhäuser." Just as he critics abused Wagner, their successors are now abusing the man who outwagnered Wagner.

WILL THE NEXT CONGRESS BE DEMOCRATIC?

The Democratic members of Congress are giving evidences of being in earnest this time. They are not sending out from Washington the usual bladders of empty promises, but are actually trying to convince their constituents that there is still a Democratic party. When they say, in the all-hundred private and public utterances of the last few weeks, that a hard congressional campaign this fall will give the Democrats the next House they are drawing justifiable conclusions from present conditions. They know that the people are thoroughly sick of Cannonism and Aldrichism and all that those terms imply. They know that Mr. Taft has disappointed many persons and that the tariff has made millions of enemies. They know that in effectual trust-busting and deferred monetary reform have alienated many staunch believers in the integrity of the G. O. P.

For our part, we are ready to fall in with the rosy predictions of these hopeful Congressmen and make bold to believe that the national Democratic party has the best chance this year it has had since 1892. Of course, conditions may change in the next few months, but the present policy of Congress gives little ground for such a thought. As a matter of fact, we believe that hearty Democratic accord upon the single question of tariff reform could win the day. If the party leaders can patch their differences about free raw materials and the protection of local industries, and can continue to preach the doctrine of an honest tariff in every district, with a determination to practice it when preached, they should carry the November elections. A tariff for revenue remains the one thing, after the maintenance of the States, for which the Democratic party, officially at least, has steadfastly stood. If that doctrine is pushed in this time of Republican discord and popular discontent, it should certainly triumph.

But in urging the tariff as the great issue in the congressional elections of this year the Democrats will find it not only expedient but necessary to abandon the catch-penny measures which have been put forward in recent years. Without exception the Bryan specifics have failed. The sooner they are laid aside and the sooner sound Democratic doctrine on the tariff is pushed to the front, and kept there, the better for the party.

Has the Democratic party the vitality to unite on this great issue? We trust so. We trust that the voice of wise men will prevail to put down foolish opportunism and rally the party around something worth fighting for.

A JUNKET? WHY NOT AT ALL.

Representative Macon is too hard on the Immigration Commission, the industrious band of Congressmen, whose touring quest for information that could be easily obtained at home has so far cost the country only \$658,000. Mr. Macon comes from Arkansas, where "doing the proper thing" is not so gracefully interpreted as it is in Washington, and he is attacking the commission in a manner that naturally shocks their own more delicate taste. We beseech him to be more reasonable, to take a broad, catholic, non-Arkansian view of the thing. No doubt it is true, as he says, that the tourists studied immigration around Joppa more than he, with his provincial viewpoint, thinks necessary; that the number of immigrants involved may not have absolutely compelled the carriage journey from Jerusalem to Bethlehem; that the inspection of the House of Lords; that there is no exacting logical connection between healthy immigration and 25 per cent. tips, and that bills for shames and shaves, hair-cuts and shampoos, may look out of place when charged to Uncle Sam. But what of it? Congressmen like to see Europe and the Holy Land now and then, and America's got the money to do the thing in style, hasn't she?

And really Mr. Macon must admit that the cost was not what it might have been. Not one of these alleged junketers bought an automobile and charged it to the tax-payers. Not one bought a yacht to study immigration from a maritime standpoint. None went big game shooting in Africa or dedicated himself to breaking the bank at Monte Carlo. None rented Buckingham Palace to settle down and exhaustively examine the great emigration fields in the London West End. We commend this general line of thought to Mr. Macon, confident that if he will only think about it and be reasonable he will soon become amazed at the commissioners' moderation. For our part we love to think of these faithful servants staggering the major-domos of Paris with their princely fees, or doing Palestine in sumptuous victorias, the best-fed, best-shined, best-shaved and best-shampooed men in Asia.

LIVING ON \$2 A WEEK.

The high price of living has received a sad blow. The calamity-howlers who have gone about the streets bewailing the price of pork chops and the upward flight of beef are driven to cover. The militant jokersmiths, who have been bitterly abusing the extravagant man who dares eat eggs, have been put to flight. Living is still cheap. They have proved it at Smith College. Fifteen girls are living on \$2 a week, and are not complaining that they are hungry.

Of course, there is something to be said about their style of living. The young women live in a college dormitory, where the eternal questions of rent, heat, light and fuel may be left out of the reckoning. Then, too, they do their own washing, tend their own pots and pans, clean their own rooms, do their own chores. They spend no dime on lettuce and mayonnaise. They burn no dollars up in Welsh rarebits and fudge. They boil their eggs, their chop or their bit of steak over their oil stoves. They cook all they eat, and we make no doubt that they eat all they cook.

Probably this will not materially aid in the solution of the problem. Such living and such hand-to-mouth fare may do very well for girls who have

only mental work to do, but perhaps it would not sustain so well the man who handles a trowel from morn to night or carries brick on his head for nine hours per diem. Meat and bread, and plenty of it, these men demand, without regard to the valuable nutritive properties of the substitutes lauded by scientists. We suspect a good, hearty man would eat the Smith girl's weekly larder clean in a day.

Then, too, the average man would not care to live in the Smith style, even if he could. He does not consider such a life worth the living. He wants three meals a day and finishing, cooked by some one else and served to him when he comes from work. He finds living is high because he insists on living high. His butchers' bills, his awful statements from the grocer and his sad reminders from the huckster reach such a pocket-breaking total because the average man insists on the best there is and a plenty of it.

We would respectfully suggest to our Smith friends that they might attack the problem from another and more fruitful point of view if each tried the problem of feeding a single hungry man, of her own choosing, on twice what she spends to stay and support her own appetite.

"Cannon Seen Without His Cheroot."

Says the headline, Swallowed it!

Now the Montgomery Advertiser comes out and says that the Charlotte Observer has just printed the worst poem it ever saw. However, we decline to accept the Advertiser's verdict, believing that it has been prejudiced against us from the start.

John Temple Graves, who says that he loves the South, but prefers the gay hustle of New York, seems to be forgetting his patriotism. He hails from a Southern town which claims to be a gay-hustle New York every day in the week and then some.

"Castro," says one contemporary, "has lost himself." Well, for mercy's sake, don't help him hunt.

With the progress of the boycott on meats, the health raddists are putting out some pretty good fish stories.

If anything is needed to enhance and set off the perfect beauty of our little star-eyed blondes, it is the accompaniment of the stunning young Gibson men of Richmond, so nifty in their strand casual tur overcoats.

Our private financial statisticians report that J. Pierpont Morgan has now taken about everything there is and is still taking notice.

The battle palm-beaches of Florida have been the means of proving that an Aldrichism government can still hump along.

The river and harbor bill, carrying \$42,000,000, has just been reported to the House. How much of this good money is going to the noblest Jeeves River in existence?

PRODING THE MAJOR.

Press With Grog Facetious In Sound-Hemphill's Praises.

After the mild and scholarly Charleston must ray almost entirely upon the town's Sunday lady editors for fame, but we admit that they are prettier and sweeter than anything the town has ever had to commend to. Only fairly like, interested and admiring readers like we are know this, however.—Houston Post.

As we feared, too long devotion to the Mecklenburg Declaration and Dr. Cooper has driven our old friend, the Charlotte Observer, to its making Cockin discoveries on its own look.

"As well might the Sun claim to have invented the original patent on the exact and heretofore undisputed facts of the original patent first made the honor (a Deacon?) an Elder in recognition of his able deaconment, the Mecklenburg Declaration, later, for apostatizing, it degraded him to the deaconal, but still distinguished, rank, where he has since remained."

We believe that the original patent issued by the Sun creating James Callin Hemphill a Deacon Secular, with all the rights, dignities, privileges, immunities and exemptions thereunto pertaining thereto, is now in the vaults of the South Carolina Historical Society. At any rate, we made Mr. Hemphill a Deacon Secular before the Charlotte Observer had observed him. That honor it is in no man's power to take away. Once a Deacon always a Deacon.

Notice that our unfortunate contemporary is still playing with that mere trifling of the Deacon Secular, that Deacon Hemphill is rather deaconal than deaconous. He is the president, dean and master of his trade or corporation of North Carolina, as he is soon to be in Virginia.

As to the remarks of this darkened Ray Heel about Charlemagne and Napoleon, we have always noted his delusion and have been glad to concede that both were natives of North Carolina.—New York Sun.

A subscriber wants to know if the Major has yet moved from Charleston to Richmond. No, there will be no Richmond in ascending when the removal takes place. Charleston will become outcast and the Virginia National Guard will be concentrated in Richmond to preserve order until the people become accustomed to the new order of things.—Houston Post.

A Valentine.

A tender hope has been expressed! Two thoughts of dreaming hearts confessed. Through longing souls that sweetly seek Through aisles where misting cherubs speak Of happy wedding and communion. A charming message through the moon, Which casts a mystic arrow fleet And pierces to the heart of love. And speak of treasured joy supreme. Hidden in realms where angels dream—As Cupid plays a rosy note. The hope of stars, the hope of his heart. He Nightly drifts, with inner glee. Across the bay of memory.

GEORGE SANDS JOHNSON.

The John Marshall House.

O, why not pull the old house down, The same John Marshall place? 'Tis but a chamber of the ground, Of which men have made a name. Of our new and beautiful High School. The shrine of cultured fame, The Mecca of each youthful soul. And bears John Marshall's name.

Here generations yet unborn Shall seek its stately hall, And gather wisdom and renown. That from his mantle fall; And thither will the world resort To learn the meed of a brave deed. And wear fresh laurels round the spot. Through all the coming days.

John Marshall House is but a shell. Fast hastening to decay. Till crumbling walls and rotting pillars Lie in ruins under the sun's glare. Its moss-grown bricks are falling loose, Its cornice breaking down: Its roof is but a tattered tarp.

Now tumbling to the ground. Yes, pull it down and on the site Where now its ruins stand Erect a fitting monument To John, the good and grand—The noblest Roman of them all. The lion of his tribe. While history thus its deeds recall, Due homage we deserve.

E. T. D.

Borrowed Jingles

THE TEN-INCH HAT.
Men, deprive us of our sufrage!
Take our equal suffrage!
Put away in endless sleeping
Jingles you promised us should law be.
Turn a deaf ear to our wishes.
Then refuse our delusions.
When they go to that old city
Where all thronged, our legislators,
Shout from our grasp the halloo,
Turn down all the laws we favor.
Do all these, and we are wiser.
We will give up hopeless struggle
For the rights we have gained not.
But are seeking to recover.
But dare not touch our toilet
In no venture, rash men reckless,
Put a finger on our headgear,
Venturing thus to set a limit
To the place of our hat brings
Down the wrath of our people.
Ay, the very threat—its mention—
Is enough to make each woman
Draw in her skirts and close her doors.
Ordering brass as big as church doors.
So you will make hats smaller?
Then for spite will wear them bigger.
Will the girls we have married not,
Are but dimes, comparing with them.
And while broad, well make them higher,
We will move on heads and keep them.
In the hat we walk you.
So still never get your money
Back in pleasure of the drama.
Ten-inch hats! Well, just try it.
And find out you're three-inch has-been.
—Baltimore American.

MERELY JOKING.

Present Company Excepted.
"Sir, you are the biggest roundhead that I ever saw under the sun for me?"
"Sir, you forget yourself!"—Don Vivant.

Nothing Else to Blame.
Adam had just blamed it on the woman.
"There wasn't any tariff!" he exclaimed.
Herewith all agreed he was his best.
—New York Sun.

Keep in Line.
Cook: "I'll be havin' yer mum."
Mistress: Very well, Drisquet. Keep to the right. Incoming cooks keep to the left."
—Harpers' Bazar.

From Brakes?
Bacon: "I see the London suffragettes have adopted colors."
Egbert: "What are they? Black and blue?"—Yankers Statesman.

Lives Him Too Much.
He: "You are we married, pet, do you love me enough to cook for me?"
She: "Enough, darling! I love you entirely too much for that!"—Boston Transcript.

Enteprising.
Guest: "Gracious! What long legs the new waiter has!"
The waiter engaged him, specially for the diners who are in a hurry.—McGraw-Hiller.

THE MERRY NOCKERS.

EVERY little helps. Liberia, which was yesterday proclaimed a most favored country, was the scene of a most favorable year of goods last year.—New York World.

Senator Cummins' scheme to give the trust a hard time, and suppose we begin with the butter trust—Indianapolis News.

Peary has demonstrated that a soft answer policy is of no value in exploration.—Washington Star.

It's time now to leave the latching out for the first robin—Indianapolis News.

Mr. Peary has turned on honest penny by selling a few meters, but there seems to be no market for comets—Philadelphia Ledger.

J. Pierpont Morgan might indulge his taste for antiques by purchasing the cold supply of eggs—St. Paul Pioneer-Press.

STATE PRESS

Large Appropriation for Catwax.
The matter of an enlarged appropriation for Catwax, a sanatorium for consumptives, in Kansas, has been the subject of much of the hearty sympathy of every lover of his kind in the State. The matter is now before the Legislature, which is hoped will report favorably upon the measure, with the result that the Legislature will pass the bill and give the aid which is so heartily desired by the people of this State. The Catwax sanatorium, which is now being built, is a most valuable asset to the State, and its completion will be a great benefit to the people of this State. It is a most interesting and interesting project, and its completion will be a great benefit to the people of this State. It is a most interesting and interesting project, and its completion will be a great benefit to the people of this State.

The Home for Wayward Girls.
While we realize that a big problem is being undertaken and many mistakes may be made in the undertaking, we approve of the undertaking, and we hope that the school and school for girls to be established in Chesterfield county. For the purpose of relieving the suffering of the children of the State, the State has decided to establish a school for girls to be established in Chesterfield county. For the purpose of relieving the suffering of the children of the State, the State has decided to establish a school for girls to be established in Chesterfield county.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes. Disregarding the State's interest, the subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in matters if the intricacies of the fish and oyster question, or even if they make any serious attempt to do so. Therefore, here and there a member regarded the subject as of purely sectional interest, and as in some way antagonistic to the interests of the State. The subject has been treated as a convenient means of making legislative deals for the swapping of votes.

Must Settle Oyster Question.
The present Legislature will be an exception to all its predecessors in that the rural members sided in